# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

**COURT FILE NO: CV-**

SUE KERN	)	
Plaintiff	)	
v.	)	COMPLAINT
	)	
SUNRISE CREDIT SERVICES, INC	)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant	)	

### **COMPLAINT**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendants' violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1692 *et seq* (hereinafter "FDCPA"), Pennsylvania Fair Credit Extension Uniformity Act, 73 Pa.C.S. 2270.1 *et seq* (hereinafter "FCEUA") and Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Consumer Protection Law, 73 Pa.C.S. 201-1, *et seq* (hereinafter "UTCPL"), which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, false, deceptive, misleading and unfair practices.

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. section 1331, 1337 and pursuant to 15
   U.S.C. section 1692, et seq. and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1367 for pendant state law claims.
- 3. This action arises out of Defendants' violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

4. Venue is proper in this District because the acts and transactions occurred here, Plaintiff resides here and Defendants transact business here.

#### III. PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff, Sue Kern ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person whose residence is located at 229 Independent Court, Collegeville, PA 19426 and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. section 1692a(3).
- 6. Defendant, Sunrise Credit Services, Inc. is, upon information and belief, a New York corporation with its principal offices at 260 Airport Plaza, Farmingdale, NY 11735, and, at all time relevant herein, operated as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and FCEUA, 73 P.S. § 2270.3.and acted by and through its owners, managers, officer, shareholders, authorized representatives, partners, employees, agents and/or workmen.
- 7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and operated using an instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 8. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant transacted business in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, operating as a collection agency and as a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. section 1692a(6).

#### IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Beginning on May, 18, 2010 and continuing through June 9, 2010, Defendant began making a series of harassing telephone calls to Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the alleged debt.
- 10. On one of the telephone calls, Defendant just hung up the phone without leaving any message.
- 11. On several other telephone calls, Defendant left voicemail messages but failed to provide the proper identifying information as required under the FDCPA and relevant case law.
- 12. On May 18, 2010, Plaintiff received a call on her cell phone from Defendant's representative "Lyssa," who initially identified herself as being with Avon. When Plaintiff became suspicious during the call and asked Lyssa who she was with, Lyssa stated she was calling from "Sunrise Credit Services, a precollection agency."
- 13. During the above referenced call, Plaintiff requested that she no longer be contacted by Defendant on her cell phone.
- 14. Plaintiff received two calls to her cell phone, May 27, 2010 and June 4, 2010, from Defendant after requesting that Defendant no longer contact her on her cell phone.
- 15. Defendant knew or should have known that its actions as aforesaid violated the FDCPA. They could have taken the appropriate actions to comply with the applicable law but failed and neglected to do the same and failed to adequately review their actions to insure compliance with the law.
- 16. At all times relevant hereto, the conduct of Defendant, as well as that of their agents, servants and/or employees, was intentional, willful, reckless, negligent and in wanton disregard for state and federal law and rights of the Plaintiff herein.

- 17. Defendant's actions as aforestated constitute a false, deceptive and harassing collection of communication and practice in violation of numerous and multiple provisions of the FDCPA.
- 18. As a result of Defendant's actions as aforestated, Plaintiff suffered emotional distress in the form of anger, anxiety, frustration and physical symptoms related to stress.

### V. TRIAL BY JURY

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraph 1 through 18 as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby respectfully demands a trial by jury. US Const. amend. 7.Fed.R.Civ.P.38.

### VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

## **COUNT I**

# VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. section 1692, et.seq.

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 as though fully set forth herein.
- 22. Defendant's aforestated actions violated the FDCPA as follows:
- (a) Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, and/or abuse Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt.
- (b) Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6) by making telephone calls without disclosing their identity.

- (c) Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e by using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the debt collection
- (d) Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) by using unfair and unconscionable means to attempt to collect a debt as aforestated, including continuing to call the Plaintiff on her cell phone after she requested they stop.
- (e) Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11) by failing to disclose that the communication is from a debt collector and/or use the "mini-Miaranda" warning in its' messages.
- (f) Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(14) by using any name other than the true name of the debt collector's business.
- (g) Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(5) by causing any charges to be made to the consumer, e.g. charges to consumer's cell phone.
- 23. As a result of each and every FDCA violation by Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered out of pocket damages.
- 24. Plaintiff has suffered actual damages as a result of the Defendant's illegal collection communications and action in the form of anger, anxiety and frustration.
- 25. Plaintiff has been seriously damaged by Defendant's violations of the FDCPA and is entitled to actual damages, compensatory damages, costs and attorneys fees.
- 26. As a result of the foregoing violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for actual damages, statutory damages and attorney's fees and costs in accordance with 15 U.S.C. 1692k.

### **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 26 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.
- 29. As a result of each of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is therefore entitled to actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendant.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Sue Kern prays that judgment be entered for her against Defendant for the following:

- (1) Actual damages;
- (2) Statutory damages in the amount of \$1000.00;
- (3) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- (4) Declaratory judgment that the Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- (5) Such other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.

### **COUNT II**

# VIOLATIONS OF PENNSYLVANIA FAIR CREDIT EXTENSION UNIFORMITY ACT (FCEUA, 73 Pa.C.S. 2270.1, et. seq.

- 30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 though 29 as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. The collection of debt in Pennsylvania is proscribed by the Fair Credit Extension Uniformity Act at 73 Pa. C.S. 201-1 et. seq. ("FCEU/UTCPL") Defendant is a debt collector pursuant to 73 Pa.C.S. 2270.3.
- 32. The alleged debt Defendant was attempting to collect is a "debt" as defined by 73 Pa.C.S. 2270.3.
- 33. The FCEUA proscribes, inter alia, engaging in any false, misleading or deceptive representations when attempting to collect a consumer debt.
- 34. The actions, as foresaid, constitute false, misleading or deceptive representations;
- 35. Violation of the FDCPA is per se violation of FCEUA and the UTCPL.
- 36. By virtue of the violations of law as aforesaid, and pursuant to the FCEUA and UTCPL, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages, treble damages, reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Sue Kern, prays that judgment be entered for her against Defendant for the following:

- (1) Actual damages;
- (2) Statutory damages;
- (3) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- (4) Declaratory judgment that the Defendants' conduct violated the FCEU;
- (5) Such other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.

### **COUNT III**

# VIOLATIONS OF PENNSYLVANIA CONSUMER PROTECTION LAW ("UTCPL"), 73 Pa.C.S. 201-1, et. seq.

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 36 as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. Plaintiff and Defendant are "Persons" pursuant to 73 Pa.C.S. 201-2.
- 39. The UTCPL proscribes, inter alia, engaging in any "unfair or deceptive acts or practices" whether at, prior to, or subsequent to a consumer transaction.
- 40. The actions of Defendant, as aforesaid, constitute unfair or deceptive acts and practices under the UTCPL, by way of the following, inter alia:
- a. Defendant engaged in fraudulent or deceptive conduct which created a likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding pursuant to 73 Pa.C.S. 201-2(xxi).
- b. Defendant failed to comply with the FDCPA and FCEUA which are per se violations of the UTCPL.

41. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's actions as aforestated, Plaintiff has suffered financial damages and harm.

42. By virtue of the Defendant's violations of law as aforestated, and pursuant to the FCEUA and UTCPL, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages, treble damages, attorney's fees and cost of suit.

# **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Sue Kern, prays that judgment be entered for her against Defendant for the following:

- (1) Actual damages;
- (2) Statutory damages;
- (3) Treble damages;
- (4) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- (5) Declaratory judgment that the Defendants' conduct violated the UTCPL;
- (6) Such other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Mpf8441/s/Michael P. Forbes

Law of Michael P. Forbes, P.C. By: Michael P. Forbes, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney I.D.#55767 200 Eagle Road Suite 220 Wayne, PA 19087 (610 293-9399 (610)293-9388 (Fax) michael@mforbeslaw.com